UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,013	05/30/2006	Jung-Hyun Kee	ABN2140-13	6940	
52706 IPLA P.A.	7590 12/23/200	9	EXAMINER		
3550 WILSHIR	RE BLVD.		BAINBRIDGE, ANDREW PHILIP		
17TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/23/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,013	KEE, JUNG-HY	UN
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW P. BAINBRIDGE	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:		•	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .	·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	o of <sup>©</sup> is due		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1 18(d) is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		οι το τ. το(α), 15 ψ <u> </u>	·
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>			
after the expiration of the period for reply.	9	<u> </u>	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
The law firm of record was contacted and after doub abandoned by the client.	ole checking the attorney indicate	d that this applica	ation was
/Kevin P. Shaver/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754	/A. P. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to